**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records removed *before screening*:

Duplicate records removed.

**(n = 78)**

Records identified from\*:

Databases **(n = 266)**

* Web of Science = 65
* Scopus = 101
* Google scholar = 100

**Identification**

Records screened

**(n = 188)**

Records excluded\*\*

**(n =147)**

Reports sought for retrieval

**(n =41)**

Reports not retrieved.

**(n =3)**

**Screening**

Reports excluded:

Reason 1: Dataset not available (**n = 28**)

Reports assessed for eligibility

**(n =38)**

4 studies included through backward referencing.

Studies included in review

**(n =14)**

**Included**

\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.
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